arXiv:1702.03527v1 [math.CO] 12 Feb 2017

Connectedness of Certain Graph Coloring Complexes

Nandini Nilakantan*, Samir Shukla†

February 14, 2017

Abstract

In this article, we consider the bipartite graphs $K_2 \times K_n$. We prove that the connectedness of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m)$ is $m-n-1$ if $m \geq n$ and $m-3$ in the other cases. Therefore, we show that for this class of graphs, $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_m)$ is exactly $m-d-2$ connected, $m \geq n$, where d is the maximal degree of the graph G.

Keywords : Hom complexes, Exponential graphs, Discrete Morse theory.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* primary 05C15, secondary 57M15

1 Introduction

A classical problem in graph theory is the determination of the chromatic number of a graph which finds application in several fields. In 1955, Kneser posed the Kneser conjecture which dealt with the computation of the chromatic number of a certain class of graphs [11]. This conjecture was proved in 1975 by Lovaśz [15]. Lovaśz constructed a simplicial complex called the neighborhood complex $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of a graph G to prove the Kneser conjecture.

In [1], Lovaśz generalised the neighborhood complex by the prodsimplicial complex called the *Hom complex*, denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(G, H)$ for graphs G and H. In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ (where K_2 denotes a complete graph with 2 vertices) and $\mathcal{N}(G)$ are homotopy equivalent. The idea was to be able to estimate the chromatic number of an arbitrary graph G by understanding the connectivity of the Hom complex from some standard graph into G. If H is the complete graph K_n, then the complexes $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ are highly connected. These complexes $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ can be considered to be the spaces of n- colorings of the graph G. The complexes $\mathrm{Hom}(G, H)$ are not very well understood.

Babson and Kozlov made the following conjecture in [1].

Conjecture 1.1. For a graph G with maximal degree d, $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ is at least $n-d-2$ connected.

Čukić and Kozlov proved this conjecture in [4]. They also proved that for those cases where G is an odd cycle, $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ is $n-4$ connected for all $n \geq 3$. In [13], it is proved that for even cycles C_{2m}, $\mathrm{Hom}(C_{2m}, K_n)$ is $n-4$ connected for all $n \geq 3$. In [17], Malen proved a strong generalisation of [4], by showing that $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ is at least $m-D-2$ connected, where $D = \max_{H \subset G} \delta(H)$, $\delta(H)$ being the minimal degree of the graph H, and the

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, IIT Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India. nandini@iitk.ac.in.
†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, IIT Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India. samirs@iitk.ac.in.

summation is taken over all the induced subgraphs H of G. Since $D \leq d$, this also implies Conjecture 1.1.

It is natural to ask whether it is possible to classify the class of graphs G for which the Hom complexes $\mathrm{Hom}(G, K_n)$ are exactly $n - D - 2$ connected. In this article, we consider the bipartite graphs $K_2 \times K_n$, which are $n-1$ regular graphs and $D = d = n-1$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_m^{K_n})$, where $K_m^{K_n}$ is an exponential graph, it is sufficient to determine the connectedness of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, K_m^{K_n})$ which is the same as the connectedness of the neighborhood complex $\mathcal{N}(K_m^{K_n})$. The main result of this article is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m, n \geq 2$. Then*

$$conn(Hom(K_2 \times K_n, K_m)) = \begin{cases} m-n-1 & \text{if} \quad m \geq n \\ m-3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the special case, where $m = n+1$, in [18], it is shown that

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $p = \frac{n!(n-1)n}{2}$. Then*

$$H_k(\mathcal{N}(K_{n+1}^{K_n}); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & \text{if} \quad k = 0, 1 \text{ or } n-1 \\ Z_2^{p-n!+1} & \text{if} \quad k = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2 Preliminaries

A graph G is a pair $(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ is the set of vertices of G and $E(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$ the set of edges. If $(x, y) \in E(G)$, it is also denoted by $x \sim y$. Here, x is said to be adjacent to y. The *degree* of a vertex v is defined by $\deg(v) = |\{y \in V(G) \mid x \sim y\}|$. Here $|X|$ represents the cardinality of the set X.

A *bipartite graph* is a graph G with subsets X and Y of $V(G)$ such that $V(G) = X \sqcup Y$ and $(v, w) \notin E(G)$ if $\{v, w\} \subseteq X$ or $\{v, w\} \subseteq Y$. Examples of bipartite graphs include the even cycles C_{2n} where $V(C_{2n}) = \{1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ and $E(C_{2n}) = \{(i, i+1) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1\} \cup \{(1, 2n)\}$. In this case $X = \{1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2n-1\}$ and $Y = \{2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2n\}$.

A *graph homomorphism* from a graph G to graph H is a function $\phi : V(G) \to V(H)$ such that,

$$(v, w) \in E(G) \implies (\phi(v), \phi(w)) \in E(H).$$

A *finite abstract simplicial complex* X is a collection of finite sets such that if $\tau \in X$ and $\sigma \subset \tau$, then $\sigma \in X$. The elements of X are called *simplices* of X. If $\sigma \in X$ and $|\sigma| = k+1$, then σ is said to be $k-dimensional$. A $k-1$ dimensional subset of a k simplex σ is called a *facet* of σ. A *prodsimplicial complex* is a polyhedral complex each of whose cells is a direct product of simplices ([14]).

Let v be a vertex of the graph G. The *neighborhood of* v is defined by $N(v) = \{w \in V(G) \mid (v, w) \in E(G)\}$. If $A \subset V(G)$, the neighborhood of A is defined as $N(A) = \{x \in V(G) \mid (x, a) \in E(G) \ \forall \ a \in A\}$.

The *neighborhood complex*, $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of a graph G is the abstract simplicial complex whose vertices are all the non isolated vertices of G and whose simplices are those subsets of $V(G)$ which have a common neighbor.

Consider distinct vertices u and v in G such that $N(u) \subset N(v)$. The subgraph $G \setminus \{u\}$ of G, where $V(G \setminus \{u\}) = V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ and $E(G \setminus \{u\}) = E(G) \setminus \{(v, w) \in E(G) \mid \text{at least one of} \, v \, \text{or} \, w \, \text{is} \, u\}$, is called a *fold* of G.

For any two graphs G and H, $\mathrm{Hom}(G,H)$ is the polyhedral complex whose cells are indexed by all functions $\eta : V(G) \to 2^{V(H)} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, such that if $(v,w) \in V(G)$, then $\eta(v) \times \eta(w) \subset E(H)$.

Elements of $\mathrm{Hom}(G,H)$ are called cells and are denoted by $(\eta(v_1),\ldots,\eta(v_k))$, where $V(G) = \{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}$. A cell $(A_1,\ldots,A_k)$ is called a *face* of $B = (B_1,\ldots B_k)$, if $A_i \subset B_i$ $\forall\, 1 \leq i \leq k$. The Hom complex is often referred to as a topological space. Here, we are referring to the geometric realisation of the order complex of the poset. The simplicial complex whose facets are the chains of the Poset P is called the order complex of P.

A topological space X is said to be *n-connected* if $\pi_*(X) = 0$ for all $* \leq n$. By convention, $\pi_0(X) = 0$ means X is connected. The connectivity of a topological space X is denoted by $\mathrm{conn}(X)$, *i.e.*, $\mathrm{conn}(X)$ is the largest integer m such that X is m-connected. If X is a non empty, disconnected space, it is said to be -1 connected and if it is empty, it is said to be $-\infty$ connected.

We now review some of the constructions related to the existence of an *internal hom* which is related to the categorical product. All these details can be found in [8, 9, 16].

- The *categorical product* of two graphs G and H, denoted by $G \times H$ is the graph where $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(g,h) \sim (g',h')$ in $G \times H$ if $g \sim g'$ and $h \sim h'$ in G and H respectively.
- If G and H are two graphs, then the *exponential graph* H^G is defined to be the graph where $V(H^G)$ contains all the set maps from $V(G)$ to $V(H)$. Any two vertices f and f' in $V(H^G)$ are said to be adjacent, if $v \sim v'$ in G implies that $f(v) \sim f'(v')$ in H.

Using tools from poset topology ([3]), it can be shown that given a poset P and a poset map $c : P \to P$ such that $c \circ c = c$ and $c(x) \geq x \,\forall\, x \in P$, there is a strong deformation retract induced by $c : P \to c(P)$ on the relevant spaces. Here, c is called the *closure map*.

From [5, Proposition 3.5] we have a relationship between the exponential graph and the categorical product in the Hom-complex.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G, H and K be graphs. Then $Hom(G \times H, K)$ can be included in $Hom(G, K^H)$ so that $Hom(G \times H, K)$ is the image of the closure map on $Hom(G, K^H)$. In particular, there is a strong deformation retract $|Hom(G \times H, K)| \hookrightarrow |Hom(G, K^H)|$.*

From [1, Proposition 5.1] we have the following result which allows us to replace a graph by its fold in the Hom complex.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G and H be graphs such that u, v are distinct vertices of G and $N(u) \subset N(v)$. The inclusion $i : G \setminus \{u\} \hookrightarrow G$ respectively, the homomorphism $\phi : G \to G \setminus \{u\}$ which maps u to v and fixes all the other vertices, induces the homotopy equivalence $i_H : Hom(G,H) \to Hom(G \setminus \{u\}, H)$, respectively $\phi_H : Hom(G \setminus \{u\}, H) \to Hom(G,H)$.*

3 Tools from Discrete Morse Theory

We introduce some tools from Discrete Morse Theory which have been used in this article. R. Forman in [6] introduced what has now become a standard tool in Topological Combinatorics, Discrete Morse Theory. The principal idea of Discrete Morse Theory (simplicial) is to pair simplices in a complex in such a way that they can be cancelled by elementary collapses. This will reduce the original complex to a homotopy equivalent complex, which is not necessarily simplicial, but which has fewer cells. More details of discrete Morse theory can be found in [10] and [14].

Definition 3.1. A *partial matching* in a Poset P is a subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $P \times P$ such that

- $(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}$ implies $b \succ a$, *i.e.* $a < b$ *and* $\nexists\, c$ *such that* $a < c < b$.
- Each element in P belongs to at most one element in $\mathcal{M}$.

In other words, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a *partial matching* on a Poset P then, there exists $A \subset P$ and an injective map $f : A \to P \setminus A$ such that $f(x) \succ x$ for all $x \in A$.

Definition 3.2. An *acyclic matching* is a partial matching $\mathcal{M}$ on the Poset P such that there does not exist a cycle

$$f(x_1) \succ x_1 \prec f(x_2) \succ x_2 \prec f(x_3) \succ x_3 \dots f(x_t) \succ x_t \prec f(x_1), t \geq 2.$$

If we have an acyclic partial matching on P, those elements of P which do not belong to the matching are said to be *critical* . To obtain the desired homotopy equivalence, the following result is used.

Theorem 3.1. *(Main theorem of Discrete Morse Theory)[6]*
Let X be a simplicial complex and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an acyclic matching such that the empty set is not critical. Then, X is homotopy equivalent to a cell complex which has a d -dimensional cell for each d -dimensional critical face of X together with an additional 0*-cell.*

4 Main Result

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first construct an acyclic matching on the face poset of $\mathcal{N}(K_m^{K_n})$. We then consider the corresponding Morse Complex to determine the connectedness of $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m)$. In this article $n \geq 3, m-n = p \geq 1$ and $[k]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Any vertex in the exponential graph $K_m^{K_n}$ is a set map $f : [n] \to [m]$.

Lemma 4.1. *The graph $K_m^{K_n}$ can be folded onto the graph G, where the vertices $f \in V(G)$ have images of cardinality either 1 or n.*

Proof. Consider the vertex f such that $1 < |\mathrm{Im}\ f| < n$. Since f is not injective there exist distinct $i, j \in [n]$ such that $f(i) = f(j) = \alpha$. Consider $\tilde{f} \in V(K_m^{K_n})$ such that $\tilde{f}([n]) = \alpha$. By the definition of the exponential graph, any neighbor h of f will not have α in its image and therefore h will be a neighbor of $\tilde{f}$ thereby showing that $N(f) \subseteq N(\tilde{f})$. $K_m^{K_n}$ can be folded to the subgraph $K_m^{K_n} \setminus \{f\}$ of $K_m^{K_n}$. Repeating the above argument for all noninjective, non constant maps from $[n]$ to $[m]$, $K_m^{K_n}$ can be folded to the graph G whose vertices are either constant maps or injective maps from $[n]$ to $[m]$. □

From Proposition 2.2, we observe that $\mathcal{N}(K_m^{K_n}) \simeq \mathcal{N}(G)$. Hence, it is sufficient to study the homotopy type of $\mathcal{N}(G)$.
We now fix the following notations.
If $f \in V(G)$ and $f([n]) = \{x\}$, then f is denoted by $< x >$. In the other cases the string $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ denotes the vertex f where $a_i = f(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, if the notation $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is used, it is understood that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $a_i \neq a_j$. Let $\sigma = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\} \subset V(G)$. Then $X_i^\sigma = \{f_j(i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq q\}$, $A_i^\sigma = [m] \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j^\sigma$ and $X_\sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_i^\sigma$.

4.1 Construction of an acyclic matching

Let $(P, \subset)$ be the face poset of $\mathcal{N}(G)$, $S_1 = \{\sigma \in P \mid < 1 > \notin \sigma, \sigma \cup < 1 > \in P\}$ and the map $\mu_1 : S_1 \to P \setminus S_1$ be defined by $\mu_1(\sigma) = \sigma \cup < 1 >$.
Let $S_1' = P \setminus \{S_1, \mu_1(S_1)\}$ and for $2 \leq i \leq m$, define $S_i = \{\sigma \in S_{i-1}' \mid < i > \notin \sigma, \sigma \cup < i > \in S_{i-1}'\}$ and $\mu_i : S_i \to S_{i-1}' \setminus S_i$ by $\mu_i(\sigma) = \sigma \cup < i >$, where $S_i' = S_{i-1}' \setminus \{S_i, \mu_i(S_i)\}$. Since $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$, if $\sigma \in S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_i$, then σ belongs to exactly one S_i. Define $\mu : S \to P \setminus S$ by $\mu(\sigma) = \mu_i(\sigma)$ where $\sigma \in S_i$. By the construction, μ is an injective map and hence μ is a well defined partial matching on the poset P.

Proposition 4.2. *μ is an acyclic matching on P.*

Proof. Assume that μ is not an acyclic matching, *i.e.*, there exist distinct simplices $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t$ in S such that $\mu(\sigma_i) \succ \sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)}, 1 \leq i \leq t$.

Claim 1. $\sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)} = \mu(\sigma_i) \setminus \{f\}$, where $|\text{Im } f| = 1$.

$\mu(\sigma_i) \succ \sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)}$ implies that $\sigma_{i+1} = \mu(\sigma_i) \setminus \{f\}$, for some $f \in V(G)$. If $|\text{Im } f| = n$, then $f \notin \sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)} \Rightarrow f \notin \mu(\sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)}) \Rightarrow f \notin \sigma_{i+2(\text{mod } t)}$. Hence, $f \notin \mu(\sigma_i)$, a contradiction. So $f = < j >$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ be the least integer such that $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t\} \cap S_k \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality assume that $\sigma_1 \in S_k$, *i.e.* $< k > \notin \sigma_1$ and $\mu(\sigma_1) = \sigma_1 \cup < k >$. Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, from Claim 1, $\sigma_2 = \mu(\sigma_1) \setminus \{< i >\}$, $i \neq k$. Therefore, $< k > \in \sigma_2$. If $< k > \in \sigma_i, 2 \leq i \leq t$, then $< k > \in \mu(\sigma_t)$. $\mu(\sigma_1) \setminus \{< k >\} = \sigma_1 = \mu(\sigma_t) \setminus \{< k >\} \Rightarrow \mu(\sigma_1) = \mu(\sigma_t)$, a contradiction (since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_t$ and μ is injective).

Let $l \in [m]$ be such that $< k > \notin \sigma_l$ and $< k > \in \sigma_j, 2 \leq j < l$. Here, $< k > \in \mu(\sigma_{l-1})$ and therefore, $\sigma_l = \mu(\sigma_{l-1}) \setminus \{< k >\}$. Since $\sigma_l, \mu(\sigma_{l-1}) \notin S_j, \mu_j(S_j) \ \forall j < k$, we see that $\sigma_l, \mu(\sigma_{l-1}) \in S_{k-1}'$, which implies that $\sigma_l \in S_k$ (since $\mu(\sigma_{l-1}) = \sigma_l \cup < k >$). Here, $\sigma_l \neq \sigma_{l-1}$ and $\mu(\sigma_l) = \mu(\sigma_{l-1})$, a contradiction. Thus our assumption that $\mu(\sigma_i) \succ \sigma_{i+1(\text{mod } t)}, 1 \leq i \leq t$ is incorrect *i.e.* there exists no such cycle in P. Hence, μ is an acyclic matching. □

4.2 Critical Cells

A subset σ of $V(G)$ is a simplex in $\mathcal{N}(G)$ if and only if $A_i^\sigma \neq \emptyset \ \forall \ i \in [n]$. In this section, we characterize the critical cells of $\mathcal{N}(G)$. If there exists $z_1 \ldots z_n \in N(\sigma)$ such that $1 \notin \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$, then $\sigma \cup < 1 > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, which implies that σ is not a critical cell. Further, if $\sigma \in N(< x >)$ for some $x \neq 1$, then $< 1 > \in N(\sigma)$ and $\sigma \in S_1$. Therefore, for σ to be a critical cell, either $N(\sigma) = < 1 >$ or $\sigma \subset N(z_1 \ldots z_n)$ with $1 \in \{z_1, z_2, \ldots z_n\}$.
Case 1. $\sigma \subset N(z_1 \ldots z_n)$, where $z_k = 1$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

In this case, clearly, $\sigma = \{< x_1 >, \ldots, < x_l >\} \cup \tau$, where $\tau = \{f_1, \ldots, f_q\}$ with $|\text{Im } f_i| = n \ \forall \ i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ and $x_1, \ldots x_l \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$. Since $\sigma \sim z_1 \ldots z_n$ and $< z_i >$ is not a neighbor of $z_1 \ldots z_n$ for all $i \in [n]$ we see that $< z_i > \notin \sigma$. If $z_r \in X_\sigma$ for some $r \in [n]$, then $z_r \in X_\tau$, which implies that there exists $f \in \tau$ such that $z_r \in \text{Im } f$. Since $f \sim z_1 \ldots z_n$, $f(i) \neq z_r \ \forall \ i \neq r$, which implies that $f(r) = z_r$.

Proposition 4.3. *For $x \in [m]$ with $x \neq z_i, \ \forall \ i \in [n]$ and $< x > \notin \sigma$, define*

$$a = \begin{cases} min\{x, z_r\} & \text{if } \ x \in X_r^\tau \setminus \bigcup_{r \neq j} X_j^\tau \\ x & \text{if } \ x \in X_i^\tau \cap X_j^\tau, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let η be the set $\{\sigma \cup < a_1 >, \ldots, < a_s >\} \setminus \{< b_1 >, \ldots, < b_t >\}, s, t \geq 0, a_i, b_j < a, 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq t$. Then

(i) $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G) \iff \eta \cup < a > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.

(ii) $\eta \in S_1 \iff \eta \cup < a > \in S_1$.

Proof. If $\eta \cup < a > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ or S_1, then $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ or S_1, respectively.
Case (i) $a = \min\{x, z_r\}$.
Since $a \leq x, z_r \in X_r^\tau \setminus \bigcup\limits_{r \neq j} X_j^\tau$ and $< x >, < z_r > \notin \sigma$, we observe that $x, z_r \in X_r^\eta \setminus \bigcup\limits_{r \neq j} X_j^\eta$.
Any neighbor f of η has the property that $f(i) \neq x, z_r$ (*i.e.* $f(i) \neq a$) if $i \neq r$. If $< y > \sim \eta$, *i.e.* $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, then $y \neq a$ and $< y > \sim < a >$ implying that $< y > \sim \eta \cup < a >$ and thus $\eta \cup < a > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.
If $\eta \in S_1$ and $< y > \sim \eta \cup < 1 >$, then $< y > \sim < a >$ and $< 1 >$. Therefore $\eta \cup < a > \cup < 1 > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and $\eta \cup < a > \in S_1$.
Let $x_r = \max\{x, z_r\}$. Clearly, $a, x_r \in X_r^\tau \setminus \bigcup\limits_{i \neq r} X_i^\tau$. For any neighbor $y = y_1 \ldots y_n$ of η, $y_i \neq x_r, a \ \forall \ i \neq r$ and so $y_1 \ldots y_{r-1} x_r y_{r+1} \ldots y_n \sim \eta$ and $< a >$. Therefore $\eta \cup < a > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ if $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.
If $\eta \in S_1$ and $y \sim \eta$, then $y_i \neq 1 \ \forall \ i \in [n]$. Since $x_r \neq 1, y_1 \ldots y_{r-1} x_r y_{r+1} \ \ldots y_n \sim \eta, < a >$ and $< 1 >$. Thus, $\eta \cup < a > \in S_1$.
Case (ii) $x = a \in X_i^\tau \cap X_j^\tau, i \neq j$.
In this case, $a \neq z_t$ and $a \notin A_t^\eta \ \forall \ t \in [n]$. Since $A_t^{\eta \cup < a >} = A_t^\eta \setminus \{a\}$ and $a \notin A_t^\eta$, we get $A_t^{\eta \cup < a >} = A_t^\eta$. If $f \in N(\eta)$, then $\forall \ t \in [n]$, $f(t) \in A_t^\eta = A_t^{\eta \cup < a >}$ implying that $f \in N(\eta \cup < a >)$. $N(\eta \cup < a >) \subset N(\eta)$ always, and therefore, $N(\eta) = N(\eta \cup < a >)$. (i) and (ii) now follow. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let a be as defined in Proposition 4.3, $\sigma \notin S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t) \ \forall \ t < a$ and $\sigma \cup < a > \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, $i_1 < a$. Then, there exists $s \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$ and a cell*

$$\xi_s = \sigma \cup \begin{cases} \{< a >, < b_1 >, \ldots, < b_r >\} \setminus \{< l_1 >, \ldots, < l_t >\} & \text{if } s \text{ is even} \\ \{< b_1 >, \ldots, < b_r >\} \setminus \{< l_1 >, \ldots, < l_t >\} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $r, t \geq 0$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_r, l_1, \ldots, l_t < a$ such that $\xi_s \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ but $\xi_s \setminus \{< a >\}$ (if $< a > \in \xi_s$) or $\xi_s \cup < a >$ (if $< a > \notin \xi_s$) $\notin S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$.

Proof. Clearly, $i_1 > 1$ as $\sigma \cup < a > \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ implies that $\sigma \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$, a contradiction. Define the cell

$$\xi_1 = \begin{cases} \sigma \setminus \{< i_1 >\} & \text{if } \ < i_1 > \in \sigma \\ \sigma \cup < i_1 > & \text{if } \ < i_1 > \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since, $\sigma \cup < a > \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, $\xi_1 \cup < a >$ is always a simplex, thereby showing that $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. If $\xi_1 \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, *i.e.* $\xi_1 \notin S_j \cup \mu_j(S_j) \ \forall \ j < i_1$, then σ will belong to $S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, $\exists \ i_2 < i_1$ such that $\xi_1 \in S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$. By Proposition 4.3(i), $\xi_1 \cup < a >$, which is $\{\sigma \cup < a >\} \setminus \{< i_1 >\}$ or $\sigma \cup < a > \cup < i_1 >$, belongs to $S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$. So, if $i_2 = 1$ the result holds. Let $i_2 > 1$. Define the cell

$$\xi_2 = \begin{cases} \{\xi_1 \cup < a >\} \setminus \{< i_2 >\} & \text{if } \ < i_2 > \in \sigma \\ \xi_1 \cup < a > \cup < i_2 > & \text{if } \ < i_2 > \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 4.3, $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. Since $\xi_1 \cup < a > \notin S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$, there exists $i_3 < i_2$ such that $\xi_2 \in S_{i_3} \cup \mu_{i_3}(S_{i_3})$. Here, $\xi_2 \setminus \{< a >\}$ which is $\xi_1 \setminus \{< i_2 >\}$ or $\xi_1 \cup < i_2 > \in S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$, which implies that the result holds if $i_3 = 1$. Inductively, assume that there exists $l, 1 < l < m$, where $i_{l+1} < i_l < \ldots < i_1 < a$ and $\xi_t \in S_{i_{t+1}} \cup \mu_{i_{t+1}}(S_{i_{t+1}})$, $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} \{\xi_{t-1} \cup < a >\} \setminus \{< i_t >\} & \text{if } < i_t > \in \xi_{t-1},\ t \text{ is even} \\ \xi_{t-1} \cup < a > \cup < i_t > & \text{if } < i_t > \notin \xi_{t-1},\ t \text{ is even} \\ \xi_{t-1} \setminus \{< a >, < i_t >\} & \text{if } < i_t > \in \xi_{t-1},\ t \text{ is odd} \\ \{\xi_{t-1} \cup < i_t >\} \setminus \{< a >\} & \text{if } < i_t > \notin \xi_{t-1},\ t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\xi_l \setminus \{< a >\}(\text{or } \xi_l \cup < a >) = \xi_{l-1} \setminus \{< i_l >\}$ or $\xi_{l-1} \cup < i_l > \in S_{i_l} \cup \mu_{i_l}(S_{i_l})$ and $i_l > i_{l+1}$, the result holds if $i_{l+1} = 1$. If $i_{l+1} > 1$, by induction the result follows.

□

We first get some necessary conditions for σ to be a critical cell.

Lemma 4.5. *Let σ be a critical cell. Then*

(i) $X_\sigma = [m]$ *or* $[m] \setminus \{1\}$.

(ii) $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \Rightarrow < x > \in \sigma$.

Proof. A critical cell σ does not belong to $S_i \cup \mu_i(S_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

(i) If $x \in [m] \setminus \{1\}$ such that $x \notin X_\sigma$, then $\sigma, < 1 > \sim < x >$, thereby implying that $\sigma \in S_1$. Hence $[m] \setminus \{1\} \subset X_\sigma$.

(ii) Let $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ such that $< x > \notin \sigma$. Since $z_1 \ldots z_n$ is a neighbor of both $< x >$ and σ, we see that $\sigma \cup < x > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. $x \neq 1 \in X_\sigma, < x > \notin \sigma$ implies that there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $x \in X_i^\tau$. If $x \in X_k^\tau \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq k} X_j^\tau$, then $\sigma \sim z_1 \ldots z_{k-1} x z_{k+1} \ldots z_n$, which implies that $\sigma \in S_1$. Thus $x \notin X_k^\tau \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq k} X_j^\tau$. If a is as defined in Proposition 4.3, then $\sigma \cup < a > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and $\xi_s \in S_1 \Rightarrow \xi_s \cup < a >$ or $\xi_s \setminus \{< a >\} \in S_1$. This contradicts Proposition 4.4 and thus, $< x > \in \sigma$ if $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$.

□

Lemma 4.6. *Let σ be a critical cell. Then*

(i) $X_r^\tau \cap X_s^\tau = \emptyset$ *if* $r \neq s$.

(ii) $x \in X_i^\tau \Rightarrow x \geq z_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(iii) $\exists\ a \in X_k^\tau$ *such that* $a < min\{z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_k}, \ldots, z_n\}$.

Proof.

(i) Assume that $x \in X_r^\tau \cap X_s^\tau$. For any $f \in \tau, f(i) \neq z_j\ \forall\ i \neq j, x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ and $x \neq 1$. Therefore, $< x > \in \sigma$ by Lemma 4.5. Since $< x > \notin \sigma \setminus \{< x >\}$, Proposition 4.3 holds, when σ is replaced by $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\}$ in the definition of η.

Since $\sigma \notin S_i \cup \mu_i(S_i)\ \forall\ i$, we see that $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \notin S_x \cup \mu_x(S_x)$. Therefore there exists $i < x$ such that $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_i \cup \mu_i(S_i)$. Considering $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\}$ instead of $\sigma \cup < a >$ in Proposition 4.4 and by an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we see that there exists $s \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and a cell ξ_s such that

$$\xi_s = \sigma \cup \begin{cases} \{< b_1 >, \ldots, < b_r >\} \setminus \{< x >, < l_1 >, \ldots, < l_t >\} & \text{if } s \text{ is even} \\ \{< b_1 >, \ldots, < b_r >\} \setminus \{< l_1 >, \ldots, < l_t >\} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $r, t \geq 0$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_r, l_1, \ldots, l_t < x$ such that, $\xi_s \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ but $\xi_s \setminus \{< x >\}$ (if $< x > \in \xi_s$) or $\xi_s \cup < x >$ (if $< x > \notin \xi_s$) $\notin S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$. But, this is not possible by Proposition 4.3. Hence $X_r^\tau \cap X_s^\tau = \emptyset$.

(ii) Let $x \in X_i^\tau$ such that $x < z_i$. As in the case (i), $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ and hence $< x > \in \sigma$. By exactly the same proof as the one above, we get a contradiction and thus $x \geq z_i$.

(iii) Let $z = \min\{z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_k}, \ldots, z_n\}$ and $a = \min\{x \mid x \in X_k^\tau\}$. If $X_\sigma = [m]$, then $a = 1$ and the result follows. Let $X_\sigma = [m] \setminus \{1\}$ and $a \geq z$. $\sigma, < z > \sim < 1 >$ implies that $\sigma \cup < z > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and σ is a critical cell implies that $\sigma \cup < z > \notin S_1$. If $z = 2$, then $\sigma, \sigma \cup < 2 > \notin S_1$ and hence $\sigma \in S_2$, which is not possible. Therefore, $z > 2$. Choose t, $1 < t < z$. Here, $t \neq z_i \ \forall \ i$ and therefore $t \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ and $t \notin X_i^\tau \ \forall \ i \in [n]$ (by (ii)). Since $t \notin X_{\sigma \setminus < t >}$ and $t \neq 1$, $\{\sigma \cup < z >\} \setminus \{< t >\} \in S_1$, which implies $\sigma \cup < z > \notin \mu_t(S_t) \ \forall \ t < z$. Therefore, $\sigma \cup < z > \in \mu_z(S_z)$, which implies that σ is not a critical cell. Thus, $a < z$.

□

We now consider those cells σ for which $X_\sigma = [m]$ or $[m] \setminus \{1\}$ and $< x > \in \sigma$ if $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$. We now get sufficient conditions for σ to be a critical cell.

Lemma 4.7. *σ is a critical cell if it satisfies the following conditions*

(i) $X_r^\tau \cap X_s^\tau = \emptyset$ *if* $r \neq s$.

(ii) $x \in X_i^\tau$ *implies* $x \geq z_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(iii) $\exists \ a \in X_k^\tau$, *where* $a < min\{z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_k}, \ldots, z_n\}$.

Proof. If $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ such that $x \notin X_{\sigma \setminus < x >}$, then $x \neq 1$ and $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$. Thus, $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$. In this case, $\sigma \notin S_x \cup \mu_x(S_x)$. We consider the following two cases.
Case (i) : $y \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$.
(a) $X_\sigma = [m]$.

In this case, $A_i^\sigma = \{z_i\} \ \forall \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore, for each $i \in [n], A_i^{\sigma \cup < z_i >} = \emptyset$. Thus, $\sigma \cup < z_i > \notin \mathcal{N}(G)$ which implies that $\sigma \notin S_{z_i} \cup \mu_{z_i}(S_{z_i})$.
(b) $X_\sigma = [m] \setminus \{1\}$.

Here, $A_i^\sigma = \{1, z_i\} \ \forall \ i \neq k$ and $A_k^\sigma = \{1\}$. Since $A_k^{\sigma \cup < 1 >} = \emptyset$, $\sigma \notin S_1$. Let $a = \min\{x \mid x \in X_k^\tau\}$. Since $X_\sigma = [m] \setminus \{1\}, a \neq 1$ and by $(iii), a < z_i \ \forall \ i \neq k$. Hence, $< a > \in \sigma$.

If $l \neq k$ then, $\sigma \cup < z_l > \sim < 1 >$ and so $\sigma \cup < z_l > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. $A_l^{\sigma \cup < z_l > \setminus < a >} = A_l^{\sigma \cup < z_l >} = \{1\}$ and therefore both $\{\sigma \cup < z_l >\} \setminus \{< a >\}$ and $\sigma \cup < z_l > \notin S_1$. If $a = 2$, then $\sigma \cup < z_l > \in S_2 \cup \mu_2(S_2)$ (since $\sigma \cup < z_l >, \{\sigma \cup < z_l >\} \setminus \{< 2 >\} \notin S_1$), and therefore $\sigma \notin S_{z_l} \cup \mu_{z_l}(S_{z_l})$.

Assume $a > 2$ and choose t, such that $1 < t < a$. Clearly $t \neq z_i \ \forall \ i \neq k$ and $< t > \in \sigma$. Since $t < z_i \ \forall \ i \neq k$, from (ii) $t \notin X_i^\tau \ \forall \ i \neq k$. Since a is the least element in X_k^τ and $t < a, t \notin X_k^\tau$ and thus $t \notin X_\tau$. Therefore, $\{\sigma \cup < z_l >\} \setminus \{< t >\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_l >\} \setminus \{< a >, < t >\}$ will both be neighbor of $< t >$ and hence, they both belong to S_1. Neither $\sigma \cup < z_l >$ nor

$\{\sigma \cup < z_l >\} \setminus \{< a >\}$ belong to $S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t)\ \forall\ t < a$. Therefore $\sigma \cup < z_l > \in S_a \cup \mu_a(S_a)$. We can now conclude that $\sigma \notin S_{z_i} \cup \mu_{z_i}(S_{z_i})\ \forall\ i \in [n]$.

Case (ii): $y \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}, y \in X_{\sigma \setminus <y>}$.

Since $y \in X_{\sigma \setminus <y>}, y \in X_i^\tau$ for some $i \in [n]$. From (i) and (ii), $y \in X_i^\tau \setminus \bigcup\limits_{j \neq i} X_j^\tau$ and $y > z_i$.

We first assume that $\sigma \in S_y \cup \mu_y(S_y)$, *i.e.*, $\sigma \setminus \{< y >\} \notin S_j \cup \mu_j(S_j), 1 \leq j < y$. The set $\{\sigma \cup < z_i >\} \setminus \{< y >\}$ has a neighbor $z_1 \ldots z_{i-1} y z_{i+1} \ldots z_n$ and is therefore a simplex in $\mathcal{N}(G)$.

Claim 2. $\{\sigma \cup < z_i >\} \setminus \{< y >\} \notin S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t), 1 \leq t < z_i$.

Suppose $\exists\ i_1 < z_i$ such that $\{\sigma \cup < z_i >\} \setminus \{< y >\} \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$. Since $< y > \notin \sigma \setminus \{< y >\}$, Proposition 4.3 holds when σ is replaced by $\sigma \setminus \{< y >\}$ in the definition of η. Now, using Proposition 4.4, we get a simplex $\xi_s, 1 \leq s < i_1 \leq m$, where $\xi_s = \{\sigma \cup \{< j_1 >, \ldots, < j_l >\}\} \setminus \{< y >, < k_1 >, \ldots, < k_t >\}$ if s is odd and $\xi_s = \{\sigma \cup \{< z_i >, < j_1 >, \ldots, < j_l >\}\} \setminus \{< y >, < k_1 >, \ldots, < k_t >\}$ if s is even, $j_1, \ldots, j_l, k_1, \ldots, k_t < z_i$ such that exactly one element in $\{\xi_s, \xi_s \setminus \{< z_i >\}\} \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ (or $\xi_s, \xi_s \cup < z_i > \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$). From Proposition 4.3, this is not possible and therefore $\{\sigma \cup < z_i >\} \setminus \{< y >\} \notin S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t)\ \forall\ 1 \leq t < z_i$. Thus the claim is true.

Since, $\sigma \setminus \{< y >\} \notin S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t)\ \forall\ 1 \leq t < z_i$ (by the assumption), $\sigma \setminus \{< y >\} \in S_{z_i}$, a contradiction. Thus $\sigma \notin S_y \cup \mu_y(S_y)$. Therefore, σ is a critical cell.

□

Combining Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7, we have the following necessary and sufficient conditions for σ to be a critical cell.

Theorem 4.8. *σ is a critical cell if and only if*

(i) $X_\sigma = [m]$ *or* $[m] \setminus \{1\}$.

(ii) $< x > \in \sigma$ *for each* $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$.

(iii) $x \in X_i^\tau \Rightarrow x \geq z_i\ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n$.

(iv) $X_r^\tau \cap X_s^\tau = \emptyset\ \forall\ r \neq s$.

(v) there exists $z < min\{z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \widehat{z_k}, z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_n\}$ *where* $z \in X_k^\tau$.

Case 2. $N(\sigma) = < 1 >$.

In this case, for all critical cells σ, $X_\sigma = [m] \setminus \{1\}$, which implies that $1 \in A_i^\sigma\ \forall\ i \in [n]$. Further, since $A_i^\sigma \cap A_j^\sigma = \{1\}$ for $i \neq j$, $A_{i_1}^\sigma = A_{i_2}^\sigma = \{1\}$ for at least two distinct elements i_1, i_2 in $[n]$ ($A_i^\sigma = \{1\}$ for only one $i \Rightarrow z_1 \ldots z_{i-1} 1 z_{i+1} \ldots z_n \in N(\sigma), z_j \in A_j^\sigma, j \neq i$ and $A_i^\sigma \neq \{1\}\ \forall\ i \Rightarrow \sigma \in S_1$).

Lemma 4.9. *If σ is critical, then $\sigma = \{< 2 >, \ldots, < m >\}$.*

Proof. If $< i > \notin \sigma$ for $i \neq 1$, then $\sigma \cup < i > \subset N(< 1 >)$ thereby implying that $\sigma \cup < i > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.

The proof is by induction on i. If $< 2 > \notin \sigma$, then $\sigma, \sigma \cup < 2 > \notin S_1$ implies that $\sigma \in S_2$, a contradiction.

If $2 \in X_{\sigma \setminus <2>}$, then $2 \in X_l^{\sigma \setminus <2>}$ for at least one $l \in [n]$. If $2 \in X_l^{\sigma \setminus <2>}$ for exactly one $l \in [n]$, then at least one of $A_{i_1}^{\sigma \setminus <2>}$ or $A_{i_2}^{\sigma \setminus <2>}$ is $\{1\}$ and if $2 \in X_l^{\sigma \setminus <2>} \cap X_k^{\sigma \setminus <2>}$, then

$A_{i_1}^{\sigma\setminus<2>} = A_{i_2}^{\sigma\setminus<2>} = \{1\}$. In both these cases $\sigma\setminus < 2 > \notin S_1$ and therefore, $\sigma \in S_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $< 2 > \in \sigma$ and $2 \notin X_{\sigma\setminus<2>}$.

Assume that $< t > \in \sigma$ and $t \notin X_{\sigma\setminus<t>}$ $\forall$ $1 < t < m$. Suppose $< m > \notin \sigma$. Since $t \notin X_{\sigma\setminus<t>}$ $\forall$ $1 < t < m$, $< t > \in N(\{\sigma \cup < m >\} \setminus \{< t >\})$, which implies that $\{\sigma \cup < m >\} \setminus \{< t >\} \in S_1$. Therefore, $\sigma \cup < m > \notin S_t \cup \mu_t(S_t)$ $\forall$ $1 \leq t < m$, which implies that $\sigma \in S_m$, a contradiction. Hence $< m > \in \sigma$.

If $m \in X_{\sigma\setminus<m>}$, then $m \in \operatorname{Im} f$, where $f \in \sigma$ and $|\operatorname{Im} f| = n$. By the induction hypothesis, $1, \ldots, m-1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Further, since $n > 1$, this case is not possible. Hence, $m \notin X_{\sigma\setminus<m>}$.

□

We now describe the Morse Complex $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_i, \partial)$ corresponding to this acyclic matching on the poset P. If c_i denotes the number of critical i cells, then the free abelian group generated by these critical cells is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_i$. We use the following version of Theorem 3.1, from which we explicitly compute the boundary maps in the Morse Complex $\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 4.10. *(Theorem 11.13 [14])*

Let X be a simplicial complex and μ be an acyclic matching on the face poset of $X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Let c_i denote the number of critical i cells of X. Then

(a) X is homotopy equivalent to X_c, where X_c is a CW complex with c_i cells in dimension i.

(b) There is a natural indexing of cells of X_c with the critical cells of X such that for any two cells τ and σ of X_c satisfying $\dim \tau = \dim \sigma + 1$, the incidence number $[\tau : \sigma]$ is given by

$$[\tau : \sigma] = \sum_c w(c).$$

The sum is taken over all (alternating) paths c connecting τ with σ i.e., over all sequences $c = \{\tau, y_1, \mu(y_1), \ldots, y_t, \mu(y_t), \sigma\}$ such that $\tau \succ y_1$, $\mu(y_t) \succ \sigma$, and $\mu(y_i) \succ y_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$. The quantity $w(c)$ associated to this alternating path is defined by

$$w(c) := (-1)^t [\tau : \sigma][\mu(y_t) : \sigma] \prod_{i=1}^{t} [\mu(y_i) : y_i] \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} [\mu(y_i) : y_{i+1}]$$

where all the incidence numbers are taken in the complex X.

4.3 Alternating paths

Since we have a description of the critical cells in $\mathcal{N}(G)$, we now study the alternating paths between them. We first consider critical $p+1$ cells, which are of the type $\sigma = \{< x > \mid x \in T\} \cup \{f_1, f_2\} \subset N(z_1 \ldots z_n)$, where $T = [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$, $|\operatorname{Im} f_1| = |\operatorname{Im} f_2| = n$ and $z_k = 1$.

Let $\tau = \{f_1, f_2\}$ and the non-empty sets $X_i^\tau \cap T, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be labelled $Y_{i_1}, \ldots, Y_{i_q}$, where $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ldots \leq i_q$. By Theorem 4.8 (iv), $Y_{i_j} \cap Y_{i_k} = \emptyset$ $\forall$ $j \neq k$. For any $l, 1 \leq l \leq q$, let $z = \min\{z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_l}\}$, D any subset of $\{z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_l}\}$ and $C \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} Y_{i_j}$ be such that $C \cap Y_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$ $\forall$ $1 \leq j \leq l$. We first prove the following:

Lemma 4.11. *The cell* $\alpha = \{\sigma \cup \{< y > \mid y \in D\}\} \setminus \{< x > \mid x \in C\} \in S_z \cup \mu_z(S_z)$.

Proof. Any element of C is different from 1 and therefore belongs to X_σ. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, there exists at least one element $y \in C$ such that $y \in A^\alpha_{i_j}$ and therefore in $X^\alpha_{i_j}$. If $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$, then $z_t \in A^\alpha_t$. Hence, $A^\alpha_t \neq \emptyset \ \forall\ t \in [n]$ and therefore $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.

(i) Let $z = 1$. If $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$, then $z_t \neq 1$ and $z_t \in A^{\alpha \cup <1>}_t$, which implies that $A^{\alpha \cup <1>}_t \neq \emptyset$. Since $T \cap \{1\} = \emptyset$, $y \in A^\alpha_{i_j}$ implies that $y \in A^{\alpha \cup <1>}_{i_j}\ \forall\ j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. Thus, $\alpha \cup < 1 > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and therefore $\alpha \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$.

(ii) Let $z > 1$. Consider the cell $\eta = \{\sigma \cup \{< a_i >, 1 \leq i \leq s\}\} \setminus \{< b_j >, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, $s, r \geq 0, a_i, b_j < z$. Let $D' = \{< x > \mid x \in D\}$, $C' = \{< y > \mid y \in C\}$ and

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \{\eta \cup D'\} \setminus C' & \text{if } < z > \notin D' \\ \{\eta \cup D'\} \setminus \{< z >, C'\} & \text{if } < z > \in D', \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.12. $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G) \Leftrightarrow \gamma, \gamma \cup < z > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. *In particular,* $\eta \in S_1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma, \gamma \cup < z > \in S_1$.

Since σ is critical, by Theorem 4.8 (iii) $y \in C$ has the property $y > z$,. If $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, then there exists $y \neq 1, z$ in C such that y belongs to $A^\gamma_{i_j}$ and therefore also to $A^{\gamma \cup <1>}_{i_j}, A^{\gamma \cup <z>}_{i_j}$ and $A^{\gamma \cup <z> \cup <1>}_{i_j}$. If $1 \leq j \leq l$, $z_{i_j} \geq z > 1$ and $z_{i_j} \in A^\eta_{i_j}$ implies that $z_{i_j} \in A^{\eta \cup <1>}_{i_j}$. Let $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$. $X_\sigma = [m]$ or $[m] \setminus \{1\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ implies that $z_{i_j} \in X^\sigma_{i_j}$. Therefore, $z_{i_j} \notin A^\eta_t\ \forall\ t \neq i_j$ and $D \cap A^\eta_t = \emptyset$. By the definition of γ, $A^\eta_t = A^\gamma_t\ \forall\ t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$. $A^{\gamma \cup <z>}_t = A^\gamma_t$ or $A^\gamma_t \setminus \{z\}$. Now, we only have to consider $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$.

If $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, then $A^\eta_t \neq \emptyset$ and $z \notin A^\eta_t$. Therefore $A^\eta_t = A^\gamma_t = A^{\gamma \cup <z>}_t$ thereby showing that $\gamma, \gamma \cup < z > \in \mathcal{N}(G)$.

If $\eta \notin \mathcal{N}(G)$, then for some $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}, A^\eta_t = \emptyset$ and hence so are A^γ_t and $A^{\gamma \cup <z>}_t$. Thus γ and $\gamma \cup < z > \notin \mathcal{N}(G)$.

If $\eta \in S_1, A^{\eta \cup <1>}_t \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $A^{\gamma \cup <1>}_t$, $A^{\gamma \cup <z> \cup <1>}_t \neq \emptyset$.

If $\eta \notin S_1$, then for some $t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}, A^{\eta \cup <1>}_t = \emptyset$, thereby implying that $A^\eta_t = \{1\}$. Thus, $A^\gamma_t = A^{\gamma \cup <z>}_t = \{1\}$ and the proof of Proposition 4.12 follows.

Suppose there exists $i_1 < z$ such that $\alpha \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$.

Clearly, $i_1 > 1$ as $\alpha\ \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ implies that $\sigma \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$, by Proposition 4.12, which is a contradiction. Define the cell

$$\xi_1 = \begin{cases} \sigma \setminus \{< i_1 >\} & \text{if } \ < i_1 > \in \sigma \\ \sigma \cup < i_1 > & \text{if } \ < i_1 > \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha\ \in\ S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, $\{\xi_1 \cup D'\} \setminus C'$ is always a simplex, which implies that $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, by Proposition 4.12. If $\xi_1 \in S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, *i.e.* $\xi_1 \notin S_j \cup \mu_j(S_j)\ \forall\ j < i_1$, then σ will belong to $S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\exists\ i_2 < i_1$ such that $\xi_1 \in S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$. Now, $\{\xi_1 \cup D'\} \setminus C'$, which is $\alpha \setminus \{< i_1 >\}$ or $\alpha \cup < i_1 >$, belongs to $S_{i_1} \cup \mu_{i_1}(S_{i_1})$. If $i_2 = 1$, then $\xi_1 \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ and $\{\xi_1 \cup D'\} \setminus C' \notin S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$, which is a contradiction from Proposition 4.12. Let $i_2 > 1$. Define the cell

$$\xi_2 = \begin{cases} \{\xi_1 \cup D'\} \setminus \{C', < i_2 >\} & \text{if } \ < i_2 > \in \sigma \\ \xi_1 \cup D' \cup < i_2 > & \text{if } \ < i_2 > \notin \sigma. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 4.12, $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. Since $\{\xi_1 \cup D'\} \setminus C' \ \notin S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$, there exists $i_3 < i_2$ such that $\xi_2 \in S_{i_3} \cup \mu_{i_3}(S_{i_3})$. Here, $\{\xi_2 \cup C'\} \setminus D'$ which is $\xi_1 \setminus \{< i_2 >\}$ or $\xi_1 \cup < i_2 > \in S_{i_2} \cup \mu_{i_2}(S_{i_2})$. If $i_3 = 1$, then we get a contradiction by Proposition 4.12. Inductively, assume that there exists $l, 1 < l < m$, where $i_{l+1} < i_l < \ldots < i_1 < z$ and $\xi_t \in S_{i_{t+1}} \cup \mu_{i_{t+1}}(S_{i_{t+1}})$, $1 \leq t \leq l$ such that

$$\xi_t = \begin{cases} \{\xi_{t-1} \cup D'\} \setminus \{C', < i_t >\} & \text{if } \ < i_t > \in \xi_{t-1}, \ t \text{ is even} \\ \{\xi_{t-1} \cup D' \cup < i_t >\} \setminus C' & \text{if } \ < i_t > \notin \xi_{t-1}, \ t \text{ is even} \\ \{\xi_{t-1} \cup C'\} \setminus \{D', < i_t >\} & \text{if } \ < i_t > \in \xi_{t-1}, \ t \text{ is odd} \\ \{\xi_{t-1} \cup C' \cup < i_t >\} \setminus D' & \text{if } \ < i_t > \notin \xi_{t-1}, \ t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\{\xi_l \cup C'\} \setminus D'$(or $\{\xi_l \cup D'\} \setminus C') = \xi_{l-1} \setminus \{< i_l >\}$ or $\xi_{l-1} \cup < i_l > \in S_{i_l} \cup \mu_{i_l}(S_{i_l})$ and $i_l > i_{l+1}$, if $i_{l+1} = 1$, using Proposition 4.12 we arrive at a contradiction.

By induction, there exists $1 < s \leq m$, and a cell

$$\xi_s = \sigma \cup \begin{cases} \{D', \langle b_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle b_r \rangle\} \setminus \{C', \langle l_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle l_t \rangle\} & \text{if s is even} \\ \{< b_1 >, \ldots, < b_r >\} \setminus \{\langle l_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle l_t \rangle\} & \text{if s is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $r, t \geq 0$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_r, l_1, \ldots, l_t < z$ such that, $\xi_s \in S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ but $\{\xi_s \cup C'\} \setminus D'$ (if s is even) or $\{\xi_s \cup D'\} \setminus C'$ (if s is odd) $\notin S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$. But, this is not possible by Proposition 4.12.

Hence $\alpha \notin S_i \cup \mu_i(S_i) \ \forall \ i < z$. Replacing α by $\alpha \cup < z >$ (if $< z > \notin \alpha$) or by $\alpha \setminus \{< z >\}$ (if $< z > \in \alpha$) and applying an argument similar to the one above, we see that $\alpha \cup < z >$ (if $< z > \notin \alpha$) or $\alpha \setminus \{< z >\}$ (if $< z > \in \alpha$) $\notin S_i \cup \mu_i(S_i) \ \forall \ i < z$. Hence $\alpha \in S_z \cup \mu_z(S_z)$.

□

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\eta = \{< x_1 >, \ldots, < x_p >, f_1, f_2\} \subset N(w_1 \ldots w_n)$ be a simplex, where $w_k = 1, w_{i_0} = min\{w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_k}, \ldots, w_n\}$, $f_2(i) = w_i \ \forall \ i \neq k$ and $[m] \setminus \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$. If $f_2(k) > w_{i_0}$, then $\eta \setminus \{f_1\} \in S_{w_{i_0}}$.*

Proof. $f_2(k) > w_{i_0} > 1$ implies that $f_2(k) \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ and $1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f_2$. Therefore, $\{\eta \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < 1 >$. If $y_1 \ldots y_n \sim \eta \setminus \{f_1\}$, then $y_k \notin \{w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_k}, \ldots, w_n, x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$. Thus $y_k = 1$ and $\eta \setminus \{f_1\} \notin S_1$. Consider j such that $1 < j < w_{i_0}$. Since $j \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ and $j \notin X_{\eta \setminus \{f_1\}}$, $\eta \setminus \{f_1, < j >\}$ and $\{\eta \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1, < j >\} \sim < j >$, thereby showing that $\eta \setminus \{f_1\}$ and $\{\eta \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1\} \notin S_j \cup \mu_j(S_j) \ \forall \ j < w_{i_0}$. Thus $\eta \setminus \{f_1\} \in S_{w_{i_0}}$.

□

Lemma 4.14. *No element of $S_1 \cup \mu_1(S_1)$ belongs to any alternating path between two critical cells.*

Proof. Let $c = \{\tau, y_1, \mu(y_1), \ldots, y_t, \mu(y_t), \alpha\}$ be an alternating path between the critical cells τ and α. Let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_i$ and $\mu : S \to P \setminus S$ be the map such that $\mu|_{S_i} = \mu_i$. Here,

$y_i \in S$, $\mu(y_i) \in \mu(S)$ and $S \cap \mu(S) = \emptyset$. If $\gamma \in c$ and $\gamma \in \mu_1(S_1)$, then $\gamma = \mu(y_i)$ for some $i \in [t]$. Since $[\mu(y_i) : y_i] = \pm 1$, $y_i = \mu(y_i) \setminus \{< 1 >\}$. In the alternating path, $y_{i+1} \prec \mu(y_i)$ and $y_{i+1} \neq y_i$ implies that $< 1 > \in \mu(y_i) \setminus \{< 1 >\}$. This implies that $y_{i+1} \in \mu(S)$, a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma \notin c$. If $\gamma \in S_1$, then $\mu(\gamma) \in c$, a contradiction. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.15. *There exist two critical p-cells β and γ such that there exists exactly one alternating path from σ to each of β and γ. Further, there exists no alternating path from σ to any other critical p-cell.*

Proof. Define the sets $A_i, B_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ to be $A_i = T \cap \{\mathrm{Im} f_i \setminus \{f_i(k)\}\}$ and $B_i = \{z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_k}, \ldots, z_n\} \setminus \mathrm{Im}\, f_i$. Since $A_i \subset T$ and $B_i \subset \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}, A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. If $z_i \notin \{f_1(i), f_2(i)\}$ for $i \neq k$, then $z_i \notin \mathrm{Im}\, f_1, \mathrm{Im}\, f_2, T$ and so $z_i \notin X_\sigma$. Since $z_i \neq 1, < z_i > \sim \sigma, < 1 >$ and thus $\sigma \in S_1$. Therefore, $z_i \in \{f_1(i), f_2(i)\}\ \forall\ i \in [n] \setminus \{k\}$, thus implying that $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset, B_1 \subset \mathrm{Im}\, f_2$ and $B_2 \subset \mathrm{Im}\, f_2$.

Let $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$. For $i \in [n] \setminus \{k\}, z_i \in \{f_1(i), f_2(i)\}$ and therefore, $\exists\ i \neq j \in [n]$ such that $f_1(i) = f_2(j) = x$. Here, $X_i^\tau \cap X_j^\tau \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction to 4.8 (iv) and hence $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Let $W = \{z \mid z = f_1(i) = f_2(i)\}$ and $T_1 = T \setminus \{A_1 \cup A_2\}$. Given a set $B \subset B_1 \cup B_2$, define a corresponding set $A \subset A_1 \cup A_2$ as follows. If $z_i \in B \cap B_1$, then $z_i \neq f_1(i)$ and hence, $f_1(i) \in A$. For $z_i \in B \cap B_2$, $f_2(i) \in A$. The number of elements in A and B are the same.

Let the facet of σ in the alternating path c be y_1. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $A_1, A_2 = \emptyset$.
In this case, $f_1(i) = f_2(i) = z_i\ \forall\ i \neq k$ and $\{f_1(k), f_2(k)\} \cap T \neq \emptyset$. If an element x of T does not belong to X_τ, then $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$ and so $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$. If $x \in X_\tau$, then $x \in \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$ and $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \subset N(z_1 \ldots z_{k-1} x z_{k+1} \ldots z_n)$ which implies $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$ and from 4.14, $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \notin c$. Therefore, y_1 is either $\sigma \setminus \{f_1\}$ or $\sigma \setminus \{f_2\}$. Each of $X_{\sigma \setminus \{f_1\}}$ and $X_{\sigma \setminus \{f_2\}}$ will be either $[m]$ or $[m] \setminus \{1\}$. Further, $\sigma \setminus \{f_1\}$ and $\sigma \setminus \{f_2\}$ satisfy the properties $(ii), (iii)$ and (iv) of Theorem 4.8. By Theorem 4.8 (v), at least one of $f_1(k)$ or $f_2(k) < z_{i_0}$, where $z_{i_0} = \min\{z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_k}, \ldots, z_n\}$.

If $f_1(k) < z_{i_0}$, then $\sigma \setminus \{f_2\}$ is a critical p-cell as it satisfies all the five conditions of Theorem 4.8 and $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_2\}\}$.
Similarly, $f_2(k) < z_{i_0}$ implies $\sigma \setminus \{f_1\}$ is critical and $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_1\}\}$.

Now, assume that $f_1(k) < z_{i_0}, f_2(k) > z_{i_0}$ and $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_1\}$. Since $f_2(i) = z_i\ \forall\ i \neq k$ and $f_2(k) > z_{i_0}, \sigma \setminus \{f_1\} \in S_{z_{i_0}}$ by Lemma 4.13. So $\mu(y_1) = \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1\}$. If $x \in [m] \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_n, f_2(k)\}$, then $\mu(y_1) \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$ and hence belongs to S_1. $\mu(y_1) \setminus \{< x >\} = y_1$ if $x = z_{i_0}$. $\{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1, f_2\} \sim < z_i >$ for $i \neq i_0, k$ and so y_2 has to be $\mu(y_1) \setminus \{< x >\}$ where $x = f_2(k)$. By Theorem 4.8, $y_2 \subset N(\tilde{z_1} \ldots \tilde{z_n})$, where $\tilde{z_k} = f_2(k), \tilde{z}_{i_0} = 1$ and $\tilde{z_i} = z_i, i \neq i_0, k$, is a critical cell and the alternating path in this case is $\{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_1\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_1, < f_2(k) >\}\}$.

If $f_2(k) < z_{i_0}, f_1(k) > z_{i_0}$ and $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_2\}$, then the alternating path is $\{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_2\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_2\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_2, < f_1(k) >\}\}$.

Case 2. At least one of A_1 or A_2 is non empty.
We prove some results necessary for the construction of the alternating paths c from σ.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\alpha = \{\sigma \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B\}\} \setminus \{< a > \mid a \in A\}$, where $B \neq \emptyset$. Then,*

(i) $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1\ \forall\ x \in T_1$.

(ii) *If $B_1 \cap B \neq \emptyset, B_1$ (or $B_2 \cap B \neq \emptyset, B_2$), then $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\}, \alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \in S_1$.*

(iii) *If $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B_1, B_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\}, \alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \in S_1$.*

(iv) *If $B = B_1$ (or $B = B_2$), then $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \in S_1$ and $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \notin S_1$ (or $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \notin S_1$ and $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \in S_1$.)*

Proof.

(i) Let $x \in T_1$. If $x \notin X_\tau$, then $x \notin X_{\alpha \setminus <x>}$ and therefore $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$, which implies $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$. If $x \in X_\tau$, then $x \in \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$ and $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \sim w_1 \dots w_{k-1} x w_{k+1} \dots w_n$, where $\alpha \sim w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$, which implies that $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$.

(ii) Since $B \cap B_1 \neq B_1$, $\exists\ b \in B_1 \setminus B$ such that $< b > \notin \alpha, b \in \operatorname{Im} f_2$ and $b \notin \operatorname{Im} f_1$. Thus $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \sim < b >$. $A \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ because $B \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$. So if $x \in A \cap A_1, < x > \notin \alpha$ and $< x > \sim f_2$. Hence, $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < x >$.

(iii) If $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, then $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. Thus, $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < x >$, where $x \in A_1$ and $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \sim < y >$, where $y \in A_2$.

(iv) Let $B = B_1$ and $B \cap B_2 = \emptyset$.

As in the earlier cases, $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < x >$ for any $x \in A_1$ and hence $\alpha \setminus \{f_1\} \in S_1$.

If $z \in B_1$, then $< z > \in \alpha$ and so $< z >$ is not a neighbor of $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\}$. If $z \in B_2$ or W, then $z \in \operatorname{Im} f_1$ and so $< z > \nsim f_1$ and thus $< z > \nsim \alpha \setminus \{f_2\}$. If $x \in A_1$, then $x \in \operatorname{Im} f_1$ and $< x > \nsim f_1$.

If $x \in A_2$, then $< x > \in \alpha$. Thus, the only possible neighbors of $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\}$ are $< 1 >$ and $y_1 \dots y_n, y_i \neq y_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \sim y_1 \dots y_n = y$. For $z \neq 1 \in B_2 \cup W \cup A_1$, since $y \sim f_1$, we see that $y_k \neq z$. If $x \in T_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_1$, then $< x > \in \alpha \setminus \{f_2\}$ and $y \sim < x >$ which implies $y_k \neq x$. The only possible choice for y_k is 1. Therefore, $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\} \notin S_1$.

□

To construct the alternating path from the critical $p+1$-cell σ to a critical p-cell in $\mathcal{N}(G)$, we first require the facet y_1 of σ in c.

If $A_1 = \emptyset$ and $A_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $f_1 = z_1 \dots z_{k-1} f_1(k) z_{k+1} \dots z_n$. Hence, $\sigma \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < b > \forall\ b \in B_2$ and $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >\ \forall\ x \in T_1 \setminus \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$. For $x \in T \cap \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$, we observe that $x \neq 1$ and $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim z_1 \dots z_{k-1} x z_{k+1} \dots z_n \sim < 1 >$ and thus $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$. Therefore $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_2\}$ or $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\}$, where $x \in A_2$.
Similarly, in the case $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_2 = \emptyset$, we see that $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_1\}$ or $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\}, x \in A_1$.

Let $i \neq j \in \{1, 2\}$. Consider the case when $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_i\}$. Let $z_{i_0} = \min\{z_1, \dots, \widehat{z_k}, \dots, z_n\}$. If $A_i = \emptyset, A_j \neq \emptyset$, then $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{f_j\}$. Since $f_i(k) \neq z_l\ \forall\ l \in [n] \setminus \{k\}, f_i(k) \neq z_{i_0}$ and thus $f_i(k) > z_{i_0}$ or $f_i(k) < z_{i_0}$.

Subcase 1. $f_i(k) < z_{i_0}$.

Since $f_i = z_1 \dots z_{k-1} f_i(k) z_{k+1} \dots z_n, \sigma \setminus \{f_j\}$ satisfies all the criteria of Theorem 4.8 and thus a critical p-cell, *i.e.* $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_j\}\}$.

Subcase 2. $f_i(k) > z_{i_0}$.

By Lemma 4.13, $\mu(y_1) = \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_j\}$. If $< x > \in \mu(y_1)$, $x \in T_1 \cup A_j \cup \{z_{i_0}\}$. Since $y_2 \neq y_1, y_2 \neq \mu(y_1) \setminus \{< z_{i_0} >\}$. If $x \in T_1 \cup A_j \setminus \{f_i(k)\}$, then $\mu(y_1) \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$

and $\mu(y_1) \setminus \{f_i\} \sim < z_l >, l \neq i_0, k$. Therefore, $y_2 = \mu(y_1) \setminus \{< f_i(k) >\}$ and $y_2 \sim w_1 \dots w_n$, where $w_k = f_i(k), w_{i_0} = 1$ and $\forall\ l \neq i_0, k, w_l = z_l$. By Theorem 4.8, y_2 is a critical cell and $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{f_j\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_j\}, \{\sigma \cup < z_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_j, < f_i(k) >\}\}$.

If A_1, A_2 are both non empty, then $\sigma \setminus \{f_i\} \sim < b > \forall\ b \in B_j$. If $x \in T_1 \setminus \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$, then $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim < x >$ and $\sigma \setminus \{< x >\} \sim z_1 \dots z_{k-1} x z_{k+1} \dots z_n$ for $x \in \{f_1(k), f_2(k)\}$. Therefore, $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{< x >\}$, where $x \in A_1 \cup A_2$.

Let $b \in B \subset B_1 \cup B_2$. If $b = z_l \in B_i$, then $f_i(l) \neq z_l, f_j(l) = z_l$ and $f_i(l) \in A_i$. The element $f_i(l)$ in A_i is called the element corresponding to b and is denoted by x_b. Similarly, if $x \in A_1 \cup A_2$, then b_x is called the element of B corresponding to x.
Let $B_1 = \{b_{11} < b_{12} < \dots < b_{1r}\}, B_2 = \{b_{21} < b_{22} < \dots < b_{2l}\}$ and $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_q\}$ be ordered sets and the corresponding sets A_1, A_2 and A be $\{x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1r}\}$, $\{x_{21}, \dots, x_{2l}\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$ respectively *i.e.* $x_{1i} = x_{b_{1i}}, x_{2j} = x_{b_{2j}}$ and $x_t = x_{b_t}\ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq l, 1 \leq t \leq q$. Let $\alpha = \{\sigma \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B\}\} \setminus \{< x > \mid x \in A\}$. By Lemma 4.11, $\alpha \in \mu_{b_1}(S_{b_1})$. Here, B and at least one of B_1 or B_2 are always non empty sets. Let $b_0 = \min\{b \mid b \in B_1 \cup B_2\}$.

Lemma 4.17. *Let $B \neq B_1, B_2, \eta = \{\sigma \cup \{< b_i > \mid 2 \leq i \leq q\}\} \setminus \{< x_i > \mid 1 \leq i \leq q\} \in S_{b_1}$ and $\alpha = \mu_{b_1}(\eta) = \eta \cup < b_1 > \in \mu_{b_1}(S_{b_1})$. If*

(i) $\alpha \in c$ and $b_0 \notin B$, then the only facets y of α which can belong to c are of the form $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\}$, where $b_x < b_1$ and $x \in \{A_1 \cup A_2\} \setminus A$.

(ii) $b_0 = b_1$, then no facet of α belongs to c and thus $\alpha \notin c$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.16, $\forall\ x \in T_1, \alpha \setminus \{< x >\}, \alpha \setminus \{f_1\}$ and $\alpha \setminus \{f_2\}$ belong to S_1. For $b \in B \setminus \{b_1\}$, by Lemma 4.11, $\alpha \setminus \{< b >\} \in \mu_{b_1}(S_{b_1})$ and $\alpha \setminus \{< b_1 >\} = \eta$. Since $\alpha \in c$ implies that $\eta \in c$, the facet $y \neq \alpha \setminus \{< b_1 >\}$. For $x \in A_1 \cup A_2$ such that $b_x > b_1$, by Lemma 4.11, $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\} \in \mu_{b_1}(S_{b_1})$. Thus, the only possible facets of α which can belong to c are of the type $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\}$, where $b_x < b_1$.

If $b_0 = b_1$, then no facet of α can belong to c. Since $\alpha \in \mu_{b_1}(S_{b_1})$, it is not a critical cell and thus $\alpha \notin c$.

□

From the above Lemma, we conclude that no cell $\alpha = \{\sigma\ \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B\}\} \setminus \{< x > \mid x \in A\}$ belongs to c, if $B \cap B_1$ and $B \cap B_2$ are both non empty. This follows by an inductive argument. If $b_1 \neq b_0$, then by Lemma 4.17 (i), $\alpha_1 = \{\alpha \cup < b_x >\} \setminus \{< x >\} \in c$, where $b_x < b_1$, if $\alpha \in c$. Inductively $\alpha_t = \{\alpha_{t-1} \cup < b_0 >\} \setminus \{< x_{b_0} >\} \in c$ which contradicts Lemma 4.17 (ii). Similarly if $b_0 \in B \cap B_i$ and $B \subsetneq B_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\alpha \notin c$. We now show that if b_{11} or $b_{21} \in B$, then $B = B_1$ or B_2.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $\alpha = \{\sigma \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B\}\} \setminus \{< x > \mid x \in A\}$ and $b_{11} \in B$. If $\alpha \in c$, then $B = B_1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.11, $\alpha \in \mu_{b_{11}}(S_{b_{11}})$ and is thus not a critical cell. If $B \subsetneq B_1$, then by Lemma 4.17 (i), the facet of $\alpha \in c$ is of the form $\alpha \setminus \{< x >\}$, where $b_x < b_{11}$. Since b_{11} is the least element of $B_1, b_x \in B_2$, a contradiction. Thus $B = B_1$.

□

Hence, we can conclude that $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}$ or $\sigma \setminus \{< x_{2l} >\}$.

Subcase 3. $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}$.

Using Lemmas 4.17 and 4.18, $y_i = \{\sigma \cup \{< b_{1t} > \mid r-i+1 < t \leq r\}\} \setminus \{< x_{1r} >, \ldots, < x_{1r-i+1} >\}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\mu(y_r) = \sigma \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B_1\} \setminus \{< a > \mid a \in A_1\}$. From Lemma 4.16 and Lemma 4.17, $y_{r+1} = \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\}$ or $\mu(y_r) \setminus \{< x >\}, x \in A_2$ and $b_x < b_{11}$. If $y_{r+1} = \mu(y_r) \setminus \{< x >\}$, then $\mu(y_{r+1}) = y_{r+1} \cup < b_x >, B \cap B_1, B \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $\mu(y_{r+1}) \notin c$. Thus, $y_{r+1} = \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\}$. The vertex $w_1 \ldots w_n$ defined by $w_i = f_1(i)\ \forall\ i \neq k$ and $w_k = 1$ is a neighbor of y_{r+1}. Let $w_{i_0} = \min\{w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_k}, \ldots, w_n\}$.

(a) $f_1(k) < w_{i_0}$.

$y_{r+1} = \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\}$ satisfies all the properties of Theorem 4.8 and is hence a critical p-cell. The alternating path $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}, \{\sigma \cup < b_{1r} >\} \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}, \ldots, y_r, \mu(y_r), \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\}\}$.

(b) $f_1(k) > w_{i_0}$.

Since $f_1(i) = w_i\ \forall\ i \neq k, f_1(k) > w_{i_0}$, by Lemma 4.13, $y_{r+1} = \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\} \in S_{w_{i_0}}$, *i.e.* $\mu(y_{r+1}) = \{\mu(y_r) \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_2\}$ ($< w_{i_0} > \notin \mu(y_r)$, since $w_{i_0} = z_i \Rightarrow w_{i_0} \in B_2$ and $< w_{i_0} > \notin \mu(y_r)$ and $w_{i_0} \in A_1 \Rightarrow < w_{i_0} > \notin \mu(y_r)$).

Claim 3. $y_{r+2} = \mu(y_{r+1}) \setminus \{< f_1(k) >\}$.

If $< x > \in \mu(y_{r+1})$, then $x \in T_1 \cup B_1 \cup A_2 \cup \{w_{i_0}\}$. If $x \in T_1 \cup B_1 \cup A_2$ and $x \neq f_1(k)$, then $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f_1$ and hence $\mu(y_{r+1}) \setminus \{< x >\} \in S_1$. Further, $\mu(y_{r+1}) \setminus \{f_1\} \sim < x >$ for any $x \in A_1$. So, $y_{r+2} = \mu(y_{r+1}) \setminus \{< f_1(k) >\}$. The claim is thus proved.

$y_{r+2} \subset N(v_1 \ldots v_n)$, where $v_{i_0} = 1, v_k = f_1(k)$ and $v_i = w_i\ \forall\ i \neq i_0, k$, satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4.8 and is thus a critical p-cell. The alternating path $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}, \{\sigma \cup < b_{1r} >\} \setminus \{< x_{1r} >\}, \ldots, \{\sigma \cup \{< b_{11} >, \ldots, < b_{1r} >\}\} \setminus \{< x_{11} >, \ldots, < x_{1r} >\}, \mu(y_r) \setminus \{f_2\}, \{\mu(y_r) \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_2\}, \{\mu(y_r) \cup < w_{i_0} >\} \setminus \{f_2, < f_1(k) >\}\}$.

Subcase 4. $y_1 = \sigma \setminus \{< x_{2l} >\}$.

Here, $y_i = \{\sigma \cup \{< b_{2t} > \mid l-i+1 < t \leq l\}\} \setminus \{< x_{2l} >, \ldots, < x_{2l-i+1} >\}, 1 \leq i \leq l$ and $y_{l+1} = \mu(y_l) \setminus \{f_1\}$. The vertex $u_1 \ldots u_n$, where $u_i = f_2(i), i \neq k$ and $u_k = 1$ is a neighbor of y_{l+1}. Let $u = \min\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\} \setminus \{1\}$.

(a) $f_2(k) < u$.

The alternating path c is $\{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{< x_{2l} >\}, \ldots, \mu(y_l) = \sigma \cup \{< b > \mid b \in B_2\} \setminus \{< a > \mid a \in A_2\}, \mu(y_l) \setminus \{f_1\}\}$.

(b) $f_2(k) > u$.

In this case, $c = \{\sigma, \sigma \setminus \{< x_{2l} >\}, \ldots, \mu(y_l), \mu(y_l) \setminus \{f_1\}, \{\mu(y_l) \cup < u >\} \setminus \{f_1\}, \{\mu(y_l) \cup < u >\} \setminus \{f_1, < f_2(k) >\}\}$.

□

Consider the critical cell $\tau = \{< 2 >, < 3 >, \ldots, < m >\} \subset N(< 1 >)$. Since every facet of τ is in S_1, there exists no alternating path from τ.

Our objective now is to first study the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ homology groups of the Morse complex corresponding to the acyclic matching μ on P.

Let the Discrete Morse Complex corresponding to the acyclic matching μ be $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_n, \partial_n)$, $n \geq 0$ where $\mathcal{M}_i$ denotes the free abelian groups over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by the critical i-cells. For any two critical cells τ and σ such that $\dim(\tau) = \dim(\sigma) + 1$, the incidence number $[\tau : \sigma]$ is either 0 or 1.

Let C_i denote the set of critical cells of dimension i. Since $< 1 >$ is the only 0-dimensional critical cell, $C_0 = \{< 1 >\}$. If $n \geq 3$, using Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, we can conclude that $C_i = \emptyset$ for $0 < i \leq p-1$. Let $C_p = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r_1}\}$ and $C_{p+1} = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{r_2}\}$. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a matrix of order $|C_p| \times |C_{p+1}|$, where $a_{ij} = 1$, if there exists an alternating path from τ_j to α_i and 0 if no such path exists. Using Theorem 4.15, each column of A contains exactly two non zero elements which are 1 (except, when $\{< 2 >, \ldots, < m >\} \in C_{p+1}$ and in this case the column of A corresponding to this cell is zero, as there is no alternating path from $\{< 2 >, \ldots, < m >\}$ to any critical cell).

Theorem 4.19. *Let $m - n = p \geq 1$. Then $H_p(\mathcal{N}(G); \mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{M}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_p|}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{p+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_{p+1}|}$. Since each column of A is either zero (when $\{< 2 >, \ldots, < m >\} \in C_{p+1}$) or contains exactly two non zero elements, both being 1, the column sum is zero (mod 2). Therefore, $\text{rank}(A) < |C_p|$. In particular, rank of the boundary map $\partial_{p+1} : \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_{p+1}|} \to \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_p|}$ is strictly less than $|C_p|$.

Since $\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m) \simeq \text{Hom}(K_2, K_m^{K_n}) \simeq \mathcal{N}(K_m^{K_n}) \simeq \mathcal{N}(G)$ and the maximum degree of $K_2 \times K_n$ is $n-1$, $\text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m)) = \text{conn}(\mathcal{N}(G)) \geq m - n - 1$. Hence $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is path connected and therefore $H_0(\mathcal{N}(G); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $p = 1$, then since $\mathcal{M}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Ker}(\partial_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_1|}$, where $\partial_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$. Hence $H_1(\mathcal{N}(G); \mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$. If $p > 1$, then $C_{p-1} = \emptyset$ implies that $\mathcal{M}_{p-1} = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ker}(\partial_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{|C_p|}$, where $\partial_p : \mathcal{M}_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p-1}$ is the boundary map. Since $\text{rank}(\partial_{p+1}) < |C_p|$, we see that $H_p(\mathcal{N}(G); \mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$. □

We have developed all the necessary tools to prove the main result. We recall the following results to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.20. *(Theorem 3A.3, [7])*
If C is a chain complex of free abelian groups, then there exist short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H_n(C; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow H_n(C; \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow Tor(H_{n-1}(C; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

for all n and these sequences split.

Proposition 4.21. *(The Hurewicz Theorem)*
If a space X is $(n-1)$ connected, $n \geq 2$, then $\tilde{H}_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i < n$ and $\pi_n(X) \cong H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

If $n = 2$, then $\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_2, K_m) \simeq \text{Hom}(K_2 \sqcup K_2, K_m) \simeq \text{Hom}\ (K_2, K_m) \times \text{Hom}\ (K_2, K_m) \simeq S^{m-2} \times S^{m-2}$. Hence $\text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_2, K_m)) = \text{conn}(S^{m-2} \times S^{m-2}) = m-3$.

Let $n \geq 3$. If $m < n$, 4.1 shows that $K_m^{K_n}$ can be folded to the graph G', where $V(G') = \{< x > \mid x \in [m]\}$. Then $N(< x >) = \{< y > \mid y \in [m] \setminus \{x\}\}$, for all $< x > \in V(G')$ and therefore $\mathcal{N}(G')$ is homotopic to the simplicial boundary of $(m-1)$-simplex. Hence $\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m) \simeq \mathcal{N}(K_m^{K_n}) \simeq \mathcal{N}(G') \simeq S^{m-2}$. Therefore $\text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2 \times K_n, K_m)) = m - 3$.

If $m = n$, then for any $f \in V(K_n^{K_n})$ with $\text{Im}\, f = [n]$, $N(f) = \{f\}$. Since $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{N}(K_n^{K_n})$ is disconnected.

Assume $m-n=p\geq 1$. Since $\text{conn}(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m))\geq m-n-1$, $\tilde{H}_i(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m);\mathbb{Z})=0\ \forall\ 0\leq i\leq p-1$. Since $\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m)\simeq\mathcal{N}(G),\tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z})=0\ \forall\ 0\leq i\leq p-1$ and $H_p(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m);\mathbb{Z}_2)\neq 0$, by Theorem 4.19. By Proposition 4.20, $H_p(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong H_p(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\text{Tor}(H_{p-1}(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Since $p\geq 1,\mathcal{N}(G)$ is path connected and hence $\text{Tor}(H_0(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2)\cong\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_2)=0$. Further, since for any $0<q<p$, $\tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z})=0$, $H_p(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z})=0$ implies $H_p(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z}_2)=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $H_p(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m);\mathbb{Z})\cong H_p(\mathcal{N}(G);\mathbb{Z})\neq 0$. If $p=1$, then since the abelinazitation of $\pi_1(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m))$ is $H_1(\text{Hom}\ (K_2\times K_n,K_m);\mathbb{Z})\neq 0$, $\pi_1(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m))\neq 0$. For $p>1$, since $\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m)$ is simply connected and $H_p(\text{Hom}(K_2\times K_n,K_m);\mathbb{Z})\neq 0$, the result follows from Proposition 4.21.

References

[1] Eric Babson and Dmitry N. Kozlov, *Complexes of graph homomorphisms*. Israel J. Math. Vol 152, 2006, 285–312.

[2] Eric Babson and Dmitry N. Kozlov, *Proof the Lovaśz conjecture*. Annals of Math. (2) Vol 165, 2007, 965–1007.

[3] Anders Björner, *Topological methods*. Handbook of Combinatorics, Elsevier, Amsterdam Vol 1,2, 1995, 1819-1872.

[4] Sonja Čukić and Dimitry Kozlov, *Higher Connectivity of Graph Coloring Complexes*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005, no. 25, 1543-1562.

[5] Anton Dochtermann, *Hom complexes and homotopy type in the category of graphs*. European Journal of Combinatorics Vol 30, 2009, 490-509.

[6] Robin Forman, *Morse Theory for Cell complexes*. Adv. Math. Vol 134(1), 1998, 90-145.

[7] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[8] Pavol Hell, Jaroslav Nešetřil, Graphs and Homomorphisms. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Oxford University Press, Oxford. Vol 28, 2004.

[9] Chris Godsil, Gordon Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New York. Vol 207, 2001.

[10] Jakob Jonsson, *Simplicial Complexes of graphs*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin Vol 1928, 2008.

[11] M. Kneser, *Aufgabe 300*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. - Verein. 58 (1955).

[12] Dmitry Kozlov, *Chromatic numbers, morphism complexes and Steifel Whitney Classes*. Geometric Combinatorics, IAS Park City Math. Ser,. 13, Amer. Math. Soc, 2007, 249–315.

[13] Dmitry Kozlov, *Cohomology of colorings of cycles*. American Journal of Mathematics . Vol. 130, 2008, 829–857.

[14] Dmitry Kozlov, *Combinatorial Algebraic Topology*. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1928, 2008.

[15] László Lovász, *Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number and homotopy*. J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B Vol 25, 1978, 319-324.

[16] Saunders Maclane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician, second edition*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York. Vol 5, 1998.

[17] Greg Malen, *Homomorphism complexes and k-cores*. http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1601.07854, 2016.

[18] Nandini Nilakantan, Samir Shukla, *Neighborhood Complexes of Some Exponential Graphs* Electron. J. Combin. 23 (2016), no. 2, Paper 2.26, 16 pp.